

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,323	07/09/2001	Eyal Krupka	884.432US1	7812
7590 06/28/2005 Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			EXAMINER KIM, KEVIN	
Minneapolis, MN 55402			2638	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/901,323	KRUPKA, EYAL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin Y. Kim	2638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A	pril 2005.					
2a)⊠	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-13,15-18 and 20-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 6,9-13,15-18 and 20-23 is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 2-5 is/are objected to.</li> </ul>						
_							
ا (٥	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachmen	• •	_					
1)  Notic 2)  Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/901,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 2638

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on April 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In connection with the rejection of claim 1, applicant proclaims that claim 1 is a means plus function claim and assert that the action failed to explain why the prior art reference shows an equivalent to the corresponding elements disclosed in the specification. However, the present specification fails to make it clear what element(s) correspond to each means of the claims. Thus, rather than having corresponding elements, the claim is interpreted as a device processing the claimed functions. Therefore, Ishizu et al, disclosing an apparatus performing all the claimed functions can be said to show an equivalent to the corresponding elements disclosed in the specification.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishizu et al (US 5,475,710).

### Claim 1.

Ishizu et al discloses a communication apparatus (see Fig.1), comprising:

- a) means for obtaining channel taps associated with a communication channel, see col.
- 43, lines 9-10 describing the initialization of tap coefficients of a filter for a communication channel;

b) means for determining a channel taps covariance matrix for said communication channel using said channel taps, see col. 43, line 9-10 describing the initialization of a tap coefficient error covariance matrix, which apparently requires the knowledge of the tap coefficients, and;

- c) means for updating the channel taps using the channel taps covariance matrix, see col.
- 43, line 11-14, describing the updating of the tap coefficients using the tap coefficients error covariance.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-5 are objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 6,9-13,15-18,20-23 are allowed.

# Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/901,323 Page 4

Art Unit: 2638

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Venderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kvk

CHIEH M. FAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER